



This is the final peer-reviewed version of the following article:

León Araúz, Pilar, and Arianne Reimerink. 2010. Knowledge extraction and multidimensionality in the environmental domain. In *Proceedings of the Terminology and Knowledge Engineering (TKE) Conference 2010*. Dublin: Dublin City University.

You can find more articles authored by LexiCon Research Group members at <<http://lexicon.ugr.es>>.

# Knowledge Extraction and Multidimensionality in the Environmental Domain<sup>1</sup>

Pilar León Araúz and Arianne Reimerink

Keywords: knowledge extraction, multidimensionality, corpus pattern analysis, knowledge pattern

## 1 Introduction

The systematization of knowledge extraction techniques would make knowledge representation a more empirical and efficient process. But first of all, certain selection criteria must be defined by manually identifying what kind of information is useful or not, why it is so and how it is structured, so that automatic processes can be improved with human implicit encyclopaedic knowledge. Knowledge patterns (KPs) have been widely explored and are considered as one of the most reliable methods in knowledge extraction (Cimiano and Staab 2005; Marshman and L'Homme 2006). However, many of them are domain-specific and cannot always be reused. Moreover, they often convey different conceptual relations and are therefore polysemic structures. The development of pattern-based constraints can help to disambiguate them and at the same time avoid conceptual noise. Nevertheless, another factor that must be taken into account in knowledge representation is contextual multidimensionality. A natural consequence of multidimensionality is conceptual dynamism, especially prevalent in process-oriented domains, such as the ENVIRONMENT<sup>2</sup>. Even within the same specialized domain, concepts are involved in very different frames or situations and, consequently, they may experience a change in their relational behaviour that must somehow be represented. In the following sections we show how Corpus Pattern Analysis can tackle both dynamism and knowledge patterns in conceptual concordances.

## 2 Corpus Pattern Analysis

Corpus Pattern Analysis (CPA) (Pustejovsky, Hanks and Rumshisky 2004; Hanks and Pustejovsky 2005) investigates syntagmatic criteria for distinguishing different meanings of a polysemous predicate. The procedure consists of three components: (1) the manual discovery of selection context patterns for specific verbs; (2) the automatic recognition of instances of

---

<sup>1</sup> This research has been supported by project FFI2008-06080-C03-01/FILO, from the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation.

<sup>2</sup> Our research on environment is hosted in <http://manila.ugr.es/visual/>

the identified patterns; (3) the automatic acquisition of patterns for unanalyzed cases (Rumshisky *et al.* 2006: 329).

We apply the CPA approach in a slightly different way. On the one hand, the extraction of concordances starts with a direct search of specialized terms. After that, they are classified according to the dimensions they show (*material, function, location, etc.*), where different knowledge patterns can be associated to different conceptual relations (*made\_of, has\_function, located\_at, etc.*). Then we analyse multidimensionality according to context, since conceptual dimensions are sometimes restricted to specific situations.

In this sense, Rumshisky *et al.* (2006) indicate several ways in which different context dimensions expressed in the selection context patterns can affect the semantic interpretation of a predicate. According to them, *the most frequent source of meaning differentiation of verbs lies in contrasting the argument types filling each argument slot* (Rumshisky *et al.* 2006:330). However, in our research CPA is applied to identify how context can affect the relational behaviour of concepts, mainly noun entities. In our experience, *the most frequent source of context differentiation of a concept's behaviour lies in contrasting the specific values filling each dimension*. This does not mean that concepts are regarded as polysemic structures (this could only be the case of terms), but rather that the activation of different contexts makes certain conceptual relations (or dimension values) incompatible. In Tables 1 and 2 both approaches are shown.

Table 1: Concordances of *abandon* in three different senses according to the CPA approach<sup>3</sup>

| Meaning differentiation of the verb <i>abandon</i>  |
|---|
| <p><b>[[Human   Institution]] abandon [[Activity   Plan]]</b><br/>           [[Human   Institution]] <i>stops doing</i> [[Activity]] <i>or does not begin to do</i> [[Plan]]</p> <p><b>Concordances</b><br/>           did not agree that Britain could or should <b>abandon</b> development, either for itself or for the<br/>           After discreet soundings, they prudently <b>abandoned</b> the idea, which would have involved a</p> |
| <p><b>[[Human   Institution]] abandon [[Attitude]]</b><br/>           [[Human   Institution]] <i>ceases to have</i> [[Attitude]]</p> <p><b>Concordances</b><br/>           had previously attacked, and in 1931 he <b>abandoned</b> the principle of free trade, which he had<br/>           s hope for a future society, which would <b>abandon</b> the obsession with private property, but</p>   |
| <p><b>[[Human 1   Animal 1]] abandon [[Human 2   Animal 2]] (to [[Anything = Bad]])</b><br/>           [[Human 1   Animal 1]] <i>goes away from and ceases to care for or look after</i> [[Human 2   Animal 2]], <i>with the result that</i> [[Anything=Bad]] <i>may get them or happen to them</i></p> <p><b>Concordances</b></p>  |

<sup>3</sup> <http://deb.fi.muni.cz/pdev/?action=patterns&id=abandon>

to join sects that make their adherents **abandon** their families, give up their money and  
 t posed by thousands of animals being **abandoned** or buried in the countryside, according

Table 2: Concordances of WATER in three different domains according to our corpus analysis approach

| Context differentiation of the concept WATER  |
|---|
| AGRICULTURE<br>[water + (functional pattern: <i>available for...</i> ) + agriculture-related concept]<br>supplies in the area. In areas where some <b>water</b> is <i>available for</i> irrigation shrubs planted on  |
| WATER TREATMENT/SUPPLY<br>[water + (functional pattern: <i>for use by...</i> ) + water treatment/supply-related concept]<br>accompanying increased demand of fresh <b>water</b> <i>for use by</i> a growing population. One of the  |
| GEOLOGY<br>[water + (causative pattern: <i>caused...</i> ) + geology-related concept]<br>s vegetation to hold soil in place, flowing <b>water</b> <i>caused</i> even more erosion and nutrient loss, which<br>ley has been limited and linear erosion <i>by</i> <b>water</b> has been a major geomorphic process in the all |

According to our corpus analysis approach for noun specialized concepts, different collocational values activate diverse situations and referents that do not usually coincide, if ever, in time or space. In Table 2, WATER is still the same concept in all three examples, but the conceptual propositions in which it participates are context-dependent. In a more natural context, WATER is usually regarded as an agent, which explains why it is prototypically linked through causative patterns. However, in anthropic contexts, WATER is more generally regarded as an artifact or a patient, which is often illustrated through functional patterns.

On the other hand, a pattern-based search is also conducted in order to find new relations among other concepts. Since many KPs are codified by polysemic verbs, a more classical CPA approach can be applied to search for a “real” meaning differentiation. For instance, it is evident that *form* does not have the same meaning in the following sentences: clouds are *formed of* water and clouds are *formed by* condensation. In this case prepositions play an important role, but also do argument fillers. The second arguments of each sentence (*water* and *condensation*) have a different conceptual nature (entity and process) and imply different relations (*made\_of* and *result\_of*). Our approach differs in the type of features we use to disambiguate them. Whereas in classical CPA arguments are all classified as semantic categories, we also add other types of information, such as morpho-syntactic data. However, not all verbs used as KPs are polysemic structures, such as *range* or *constitute*. In these cases, only argument fillers and their specificity inform us about context, dimensions and relation types, which also avoid conceptual noise.

### 3 Conceptual dimensions

In the first step, apart from manually extracting all dimensions related to candidate terms, we found that conceptual dimensions do not have the same status or relevance, and they have different implications depending on their representational level and specific contexts. We

propose a three-level classification: prototypical dimensions, additional dimensions and dynamic dimensions (León Araúz 2009).

### 3.1 Static knowledge: prototypical and additional dimensions

Prototypical dimensions are the most frequent dimensions found in the corpus. They match concepts' definitional structure, which is also a valuable source for top-down knowledge extraction. As a result, their values describe concepts in a static context, which means that they are intrinsic properties that should be modelled in any kind of representation. For instance, the *differentiae* observed in several definitions of GROIN correspond to *material*, *location* and *function* dimensions.

Table 3: Conceptual dimensions found in definitions of GROIN

| Concept 1 | Dimension       | Concept 2  |
|-----------|-----------------|--|
| Groin     | <i>Material</i> | wood; stone, concrete; timber, rock; sheet-pile, rubble-mound  |
|           | <i>Location</i> | coastline; shore; shore; seawards; shore, water; sea, river; shore; seawall, backshore, foreshore; backshore, water body   |
|           | <i>Function</i> | prevent erosion and longshore drift; control erosion; stop the flow of beach material moved by longshore drift; prevent a beach from washing away; protect the shore from erosion; reduce longshore currents; trap littoral drift, retard erosion of the shore |

Most concordances showed the same information, through different KPs like *made of*, *constructed of*, *built out from*, *located along* and *function by*.

Figure 1: Knowledge patterns and conceptual dimensions in concordances of GROIN

MATERIAL  
sand, nourishing the beach compartments between them. Groins may be made of wooden or rocky materials. They are designed as rubble-mound structures (breakwaters and groins) except that the outer part must be armored on a variety of materials are used in the construction of groins. Impermeable groins can be constructed of stone

LOCATION  
olution includes the following three elements: a rock groin extending seaward from the existing shoreline, a are intended consequences for people and wildlife. Groins are structures built out from the shoreline, typ San Luis Pass (Morton 1993). There are 15 rubble stone groins located along the beach between 10th and 61st St

FUNCTION  
ions to anchor the fill material. In either instance, groins provide shore protection by modifying longshore approach to controlling beach erosion. However, since groins function by trapping sand within the littoral systems or natural beach elevation) trap sand like high groins. However, some of the sand also passes over the length of beach to be protected. The basic purposes of a groin are to modify the longshore movement of sand and

However, concepts can also be related in many other ways in the real world. Additional dimensions add new knowledge in two ways: (1) new conceptual dimensions may arise, (2) or conceptual dimensions remain the same, but they show different values from those found in the definition, some of them having a different degree of focalization compared to definitional ones, and some others belonging to diverse hierarchical paradigms.

## Figure 2: Additional dimensions of GROIN

### PART

first in year 1983) and 2 submerged groins linking the groin head to the barrier (the northern built in 1995 a erosional depression in beach form, as in the lee of a groin, moves downdrift as a traveling sand deficit beca

### MATERIAL

groins. In addition to the above materials, permeable groins can be made of sand bags, large stones, and eart

### LOCATION

eable or impermeable, and fixed or adjustable. A high groin, extending through the surf zone for ordinary or ters to flow underneath their structures. Sand Traps Groins and jetties – structures built perpendicular to

### CAUSE

raphy changes As can be seen from Figs. 6 and 7, one groin caused remarkable accretion at the updrift (wes ds. Shortterm events of elevated turbidity induced by groin construction or associated beach fill will occur

### FUNCTION

accumulation. In some cases may serve as a "terminal" groin to anchor a nourished beach. Groin System or Fiel performed a detailed study to assess the effects of a groin and beach fill improvement on recreational surfin

In Figure 2, the *material*, *location* and *function* dimensions are still associated to GROIN. Nevertheless, GROIN appears related to more specific concepts: SAND BAGS, SURF ZONE, ANCHOR, etc. In addition, new dimensions like *part* and *cause* widen its relational power with new concepts like HEAD, LEE, ACCRETION and TURBIDITY. Additional dimensions are less relevant than prototypical dimensions and their inclusion in a knowledge base depends on its level of specialization.

The extraction of both prototypical and additional dimensions only relies on the reliability of KPs, which will be dealt with in section 4. However, dynamic dimensions need a CPA approach, since any specialized domain contains sub-domains in which conceptual dimensions become more or less salient depending on the activation of specific contexts.

### 3.2 Dynamic dimensions: contextual knowledge

Dynamic dimensions reflect a contextual multidimensionality derived from the situated nature of concepts. They are determined by context and occur especially in the case of concepts with a low degree of specificity. We call them *versatile concepts* because they are involved in a myriad of events. However, even if they appear in different contexts, they are not always related to the same concepts or through the same relations, especially in such a wide domain as the environment, where entities are subject to constant transformation.

For example, the concept SAND is generally (or prototypically) defined as a kind of sediment located in the sea, rivers or soil layers. A specialized definition could even include some information about its grain size. However, in real texts, SAND activates many other relations. In a more general domain, such as GEOLOGY, the concept is linked to others through: *type*, as a kind of SEDIMENT; *attribute*, related to grain size as a classification parameter; and *material*, linking the concept to the natural elements of which it forms part (VALLEY, SOIL, AQUIFER, DESERT, etc).

### Figure 3: SAND in the GEOLOGY domain

#### GEOLOGY

##### TYPE

, suspended sediment has been categorized as suspended sand, silt, and clay. The transport of each class is not drain by gravity and earthen material such as rock, sand, gravel, or clay. This means that a 10 unit drop

##### MATERIAL

consisting of a heterogeneous mixture of clay, silt, sand, gravel, and boulders ranging widely in size and n Maine. Sediments are composed of fine clays, silt, sand and organic matter. Sediments are supplied to the s have been proposed to classify sediments composed of sand-silt-clay mixtures in natural systems without, how arbonate) areas or where soils and aquifers consist of sand and gravel. These natural features enable rapid in

##### ATTRIBUTE

Petri parallel at a depth of 200 m in muddy and fine sand sediments, representing 2% of the total assembla A comprises sandy sediments sites (medium and coarse sand) with a low percentage of fines, and total volatill decelerated, sediments ranging from medium boulder to sand-sized were deposited. Sedimentation was controlled

These dimensions could be considered additional ones. However, some of them are not compatible in other contexts. In a COASTAL PROCESS domain, salient dimensions become: *material*, although natural elements are restricted to coastal ones (SAND BARRIER, SAND BERM, SAND SPIT, BEACH, etc.); and *patient*, where the concept is involved in certain natural processes (WAVE ACTION, STORMS, LONGSHORE CURRENT, DEPOSITION, etc.).

### Figure 4: SAND in the COASTAL PROCESS domain

#### COASTAL PROCESS

##### MATERIAL

A beach is an area of sediment accumulation (usually sand) exposed to wave action along the coast. Beaches was designed along the entire stretch of SR-105 as a sand berm with crest elevation at +30 feet MLLW. Sand sion and producing broader beaches. Spits and bars A sand spit is one of the most common coastal landforms. e sedimentary terraces or "raised beaches" containing sand and gravel deposits. The coastline forms a chain lluvium (debris from valley sides), channel deposits (sand and gravel), and vertical accretion deposits (cla f medium to coarse sand. Channel banks are composed of sand and mud (silt-clay content averaging 30-40%) and

##### PATIENT

by sand bars, which are formed by wave action moving sand onto and along the beach. The river is then only level factors. \par Most storms move large amounts of sand from the beach to offshore; but after the storm, t ngle. The longshore current can carry large amounts of sand along the coast and can form spits (narrow peninsu longshore drift. Longshore drift erodes and deposits sand continuously along the beach. The sand that is r different areas of the continental shelf and slope, sand being the largest, is transported by waves toward action. During the summer months when waves are low, sand is deposited on the beach, forming a high and wide

If context is again restricted to the COASTAL DEFENCE domain, dimensions are still the same, but values are focalized to artificial elements (FENCE, BERM, DIKE) or processes (TRAPPING, PUMPING, DUMPING). Furthermore, there is a new dimension, highlighting the functional nature of the concept in this specific context (SAND *protects* DUNE-BLUFFS, SAND BODY *is used for* BEACH NOURISHMENT, etc.).

### Figure 5: SAND in the COASTAL DEFENCE domain

#### COASTAL DEFENCE

##### MATERIAL

them recover naturally. (See also Case 2.) However, sand fences can be erected to help dune recovery after ss impacts associated with construction of a nearshore sand berm. Baseline condition descriptions included dis andward 550 ft of the west jetty were constructed as a sand-fill dike, with a crown elevation of +4 ft mlt and

##### FUNCTION

e also important to many beaches because they act as a sand source. Many fish actively feed on the coral. Fo important beneficial effects. First, beach nourishment sand directly protects the natural dune-bluffs from wav sources of sand. Sand sources for Beach Nourishment Sand for nourishment projects is from a variety of envi icate a highly variable environment. Thus, before this sand body is used for beach nourishment, further coring

##### PATIENT

der to restore it to its former width. The addition of sand to the beach by dredging and pumping sand from of ng wave energy in the case of breakwaters and trapping sand in the case of groins, thus influencing the sand m jacent beaches, artificial sand bypassing can be used. Sand bypassing is the hydraulic or mechanical movement movement of sand generally can be restored by pumping sand from the side where sand accumulates through a pi nourishment for the \par beach. \par offshore dumping of sand by hopper dredge was carried out at Long Branch, N

These three domains form a hierarchy (GEOLOGY → COASTAL PROCESS → COASTAL DEFENCE), but in a completely different domain, changes are more remarkable.

Figure 6: SAND in the WATER TREATMENT domain

WATER TREATMENT  
INSTRUMENT  
e water column. This equipment is called a detritor or sand catcher. Sand grit and stones need to be removed  
5 l of water were collected after filtration through sand filters. chlorine concentration used in the exper  
d grit removal. Primary treatment typically includes a sand or grit channel or chamber where the velocity of  
first nitrifying the wastewater by passage through the sand filter, then recirculating the nitrified effluent  
FUNCTION  
lso called "effluent polishing". [edit] Filtration Sand filtration removes much of the residual suspended  
water-treatment plants in the kingdom utilize imported sand for filtration. The objectives of this research pr  
beds for wastewater sludge require a specific type of sand in order to dewater the sludge quickly. Author war

In the WATER TREATMENT domain, a new dimension is found, where SAND is linked to a particular instrument used in water treatment plants. The functional dimension now has a different value (FILTRATION) and *patient* and *material* are no longer representative conceptual dimensions.

Context is a dynamic construct that triggers or restricts knowledge (León Araúz *et al.* 2009). Moreover, Yeh and Barsalou (2006) claim that when situations are incorporated into a cognitive task, processing becomes more tractable than when situations are ignored, and the same can be applied to knowledge acquisition processes. As a result, a more believable representational system should account for re-conceptualization according to the situated nature of concepts, which would enhance knowledge acquisition.

In our research, we are developing context-based conceptual networks by dividing the environmental field in different contextual domains. Nevertheless, first we need to know *which* concepts are activated in each situation and *how* to extract this information. This is where CPA can help to accomplish our aim.

According to CPA, patterns expressing contextual information delimit the lexical senses of words. In our approach, patterns expressing contextual information delimit conceptual dimensions, which at the same time delimit domain membership.

Two procedures must be applied. First of all, if a concept only activates a particular dimension in just one domain, the identification of any KP linking the concept to that dimension will be enough to associate the concept to a concrete domain. In the case of SAND, if a KP expresses the *instrument* dimension, the concept will be automatically assigned to the WATER TREATMENT domain.

Nevertheless, *domain disambiguation* is not that easy when different domains can activate the same dimensions and, as a result, KPs are usually the same. For example, if SAND is found next to KPs like *consist of*, *comprising*, *formed from*, *containing*, or *composed of*, the *material* dimension ascribes concepts to three possible domains: GEOLOGY, COASTAL PROCESSES and COASTAL DEFENCE. Sometimes, certain KPs express a particular dimension in just one domain, such as *made of*, where SAND is always related to COASTAL DEFENCE because the pattern needs the activation of an artificial concept.

However, most of the time, this is not the case, and domain disambiguation requires a second step based on the kind of values associated to each dimension. At this stage, semantic annotation seems the only way to differentiate domain membership. As mentioned above, in the GEOLOGY domain materials must be natural elements found in nature, in the COASTAL PROCESS domain, materials are restricted to those found in the coastal area, and in the COASTAL DEFENCE domain materials are no longer natural elements. Consequently, annotation should be concept-oriented, differentiating all concepts in the hierarchy and assigning each of them to particular contexts. In this way, when dimensions are common to several domains, dimensional values are the only thing that can guide the knowledge extraction process. Semantic annotation has not been implemented yet, since first of all we need to know which kind of information (e.g. conceptual, semantic, morpho-syntactic) must be included, which is also the case for KPs.

#### 4 Knowledge patterns

Many KPs can be found in a manual identification process. However, automatic extraction needs a certain level of reliability to be effective. In table 2 we show some of the most reliable patterns for seven conceptual relations in our specialized domain.

Table 3: Knowledge patterns and their conceptual relations

| Conceptual relation | Knowledge pattern   |
|---------------------|---|
| Is_a                | such as, rang* from, includ*                                    |
| Part_of             | includ*, consist* of, formed by/of                              |
| Made_of             | consist* of, built of/from, constructed of, formed by/of/from   |
| Located_at          | form* in/at/on, found in/at/on, tak* place in/at, located in/at |
| Result_of           | caused by, leading to, derived from, formed when/by/from        |
| Has_function        | designed for/to, built to/for, purpose is to, used to/for       |
| Effected_by         | carried out with, by using                                      |

We have applied CPA to certain general patterns. For example, despite all the information that *form\** helps to extract, it would have been a non-reliable pattern if no prepositions or verb tenses were included as part of the pattern. Thus, when *form\** is followed by *in*, *at* or *on*, it only expresses the relation *located\_at*.

Domain-specific patterns are generally more reliable, such as *built of/from* and *constructed of*, which have 100 per cent reliability. But most of them are general language patterns that can be applied to many other domains. On the other hand, even reliable ones show a certain degree of conceptual noise. A way of solving this problem could be to search for candidate terms of interest occurring with patterns indicating relations that may be useful for describing the concepts they denote, but that would rule out unidentified terms.

Semantic annotation seems again a plausible way to exclude useless information. For example, in the KP *consist\* of*, a higher level of reliability would be achieved if automatic searches could be restricted to part of speech *nouns*, since parts or materials will never be



anything other than nouns. In this way, sentences like “the process *consists of* building a groyne 97m long” would be omitted. On the other hand, if concept types were also annotated in the corpus, it would be easier to deal with KPs like *carried out with*. In this case, only concepts sharing the category membership of instrument would be selected, avoiding sentences like “two test series were *carried out with* the following parameters”.

This can also be applied to KPs expressing several conceptual relations, as in the case of domain disambiguation. Certain criteria could be elaborated for patterns like *includ\** (*type\_of* and *part\_of*), *consist of* (*part\_of* and *made\_of*) or *formed by* (*part\_of*, *made\_of* and *result\_of*). Nevertheless, before annotating any corpus, it is necessary to discover certain rule constraints according to each KP’s specific needs, whether they cause conceptual noise or they are polysemic structures. In the next sections we will deal with the KPs *rang\* from* and *formed by*.

### 3.1 KP *rang\* from*

One of the most reliable knowledge patterns that activates the relation *is\_a* in the environmental domain is *rang\* from*. In our corpus there are 1381 occurrences of this pattern. Still, noise appears when studying the concordances with this knowledge pattern. When *rang\* from* is followed by a number (see Figure 7), the relation that is expressed is always of magnitude. This is interesting information for the description of prototypical and additional dimensions of some types of concepts, but not if our aim is to analyze the conceptual relation IS\_A. If we omit all these concordances, there are 356 concordances left. The same goes for the combination with a number written in full, or an adverb or an article and a number. Measurable concepts such as GROWTH TIMES and RECHARGE EVENTS are defined by certain time and amount combinations, which are additional dimensions. Therefore, if our aim is to analyze the IS\_A relation, there should also be restrictions on words expressing duration such as minute, hour, month, day, week, etc. (see Figure 8).

Figure 7: Noise in the KP *rang\* from*: numbers

ditions. Temperatures in Italian rice fields typically range from 15 to 30 °C (Schütz et al., 1990). we chose ved at different locations with aggregation timescales ranging from 15 min to 2 days. The theoretical and observed a marked thermocline around 30 m depth; temperatures ranged from 15 to 17°C in the epilimnion and 7°C in by land clearing, grubbing, grading, and construction range from 149,459 to 198,494 acres, or 25 to 33 percent the same image analysis system as in the present study ranged from 15 to 90 mg C m<sup>-3</sup> from March to October 29.5 km upstream of the reservoir. Elevations of sites range from 1470 to 1700 m MSL (Fig. 4). To facilitate ese systems have a projected daily flow of wastewater ranging from 15,000 to 4,000,000 gpd. All permitted lan V, between Sines and Cape St Vicente, at water depths ranging from 15-387 m. During these cruises, equinoder as calculated for copepods by Frost (1972), and values ranging from 15,000 to 50,000 cells ind<sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> were reported. The climate is semi-arid with mean annual rainfall ranging from 15 to 28 cm, increasing to the southeast. turns to the west across faults with sinistral offsets ranging from 15 m to 100 m (Fig. 3B). Between the wuton

Figure 8: Noise in the KP *rang\* from*: magnitude

eynolds number (Rec), based on the collector diameter, ranges from well below 1 to 1,000. No analytical solution ow downgradient wells. water table depth in the wells ranged from approximately 5 to 8 feet below the ground eas that are stratified into 79 beach sampling units, ranging from ca. 3 to 37 km in length, and which are m 9]). Throughout the collected samples in 1999, the TDS ranged from a minimum of 5900 mg/l to a maximum of 14,2 cannot proceed much because the bedrock is at a depth ranging from about 100 to 120 m. However, several sectors ater aquifers of North Carolina, pesticide detections ranged from less than 1 to 34 percent of standards or standards or guidance levels. The remaining detections ranged from less than 1 to 50 percent of standards or n plane and relatively steep beaches with growth times ranging from hours to one month. Less steep equilibrium e intervals for observable ET and rain recharge events range from days in the forest to months or years in the or average state of the atmosphere over time scales ranging from decades to millions of years. These chan d for pesticides with leaching potential ratings that ranged from very low to very high. The soil series reco ns, average grain speeds on the Iron Mountain piedmont range from a few decimeters to a meter per year. Such ies are normally graded gravel-sand couplets (Fig. 6), ranging from decimeters to meters in thickness, occasio ce structures corresponds to solution-induced basins ranging from hundreds to several thousands of meters in

If these concordances are omitted, there are only 121 concordances left. The knowledge pattern *rang\* from* has proven to be a very reliable pattern if the above-mentioned restrictions

are taken into account. It is not only very informative for the extraction of hyponymic relations, but also for relations of coordination, as the expression requires at least two coordinate concepts.

Figure 9: Hyponymic and coordination concordances of the KP *rang\* from*

from the natural beach profile must be built. Seawalls range from vertical face structures such as massive gra  
graded, with uneven erosional bases. The gravel clasts range from small pebbles to large boulders, are subangu  
econd sedimentation in shallow playa-lake environments ranging from subaqueous to vadose and subaerial zones.  
f 3 days. Sediments sediments of different textures, ranging from mud to sand, were collected from the inte  
he Clvide sea area (Fig. 1). The sediments at 4 sites ranged from mud to fine sand and all amoebae were isol  
s, the river was braided with individual anabranches ranging from shallow, wide channels to deeper, narrow c  
e processes to attack the bedrock and produce debris ranging from silt-sized particles to large boulders.  
omea, 1997). Parameterizations of mixed layer dynamics range from relatively simple bulk models ( Kraus and Tu  
and Australia. The types of juvenile habitat range from the entire estuary or shallow open coastal w  
ought of as being composed of a mosaic of slope types, ranging from steep mountains and cliffs to almost flat  
sheries 1998). Marine waters The marine environment ranges from the intertidal zone to the vast waters of t  
ia testudinum). Seagrasses normally occur in sediments ranging from sand to mud in relatively protected enviro  
occur in both freshwater and marine environments that range from pelagic to benthic and littoral to deep-sea  
number of species with different feeding strategies, ranging from phagotrophic ingestion of particles to ph  
ow-to-moderate wave energy-coasts with beach sediments ranging from fine sand to pebbles (Dally and Pope, 1986  
rada (Tarragona) is composed of different beach-types, ranging from long, straight beaches to pocket beaches  
er. Where a variety of coarse material exists, ranging from pebbles to large boulders, one fin  
three-dimensional computer pictures of ocean animals, ranging from plankton to large fish. This new technolog  
ciomarine sediments. (which is a mixture of sediment, ranging from clay to boulders). These were later rewo  
stewater nitrogen signatures in a number of locations, ranging from estuaries to freshwater ponds, to a salt p  
e processes to attack the bedrock and produce debris ranging from silt-sized particles to large boulders.  
stry of the magma of these volcanoes is quite variable ranging from basalt to granite. Magmas that are more or  
enclose a widespread record of sedimentary deposits ranging from (1) the "classical" freshwater model in wh  
f open-work gravels, but generally a variable matrix, ranging from silt to very coarse sand, fills the voids.

From the concordances of figure 9, the following information can be extracted: FINE SAND and PEBBLE *is\_a* BEACH SEDIMENTS; LONG BEACH and STRAIGHT BEACH *is\_a* BEACH; SAND, MUD, CLAY and BOULDER *is\_a* SEDIMENT; PHAGOTROPHIC INGESTION *is\_a* FEEDING STRATEGY.

### 3.2 KP formed by

As in the case of *rang\* from*, certain constraints must also be defined for the KP *formed by* in order to avoid noise. First of all, concordances where the KP is followed by a figure or a time expression (*formed by then*, *formed by 2.5 billion years ago*) must be omitted.

Figure 10: Noise in KP *formed by*: figures and time

about 70 percent of the Earth's continental crust was formed by 2.5 billion years ago. over the next 2 billion complete set of orthogonal equations over the depth is formed by (2.5), (2.6) and (2.7). To gain the full solu be almost 90a, because the second vortex will not have formed by then. The vortex pairing will occur at near 9

Moreover, it is also possible that the KP links a specialized concept with a too general one, both to the right and the left of the pattern.

Figure 11: Noise in KP *formed by*: too general concepts to the left

on of the state. It is a watershed-based organization formed by a prescribed legal process in superior court number of setae (ca. 68) in the posterolateral complex formed by these adjacent groups. In other decapod speci

Figure 12: Noise in KP *formed by*: too general concept to the right

al plain EUROSION Case Study 3 The examined area is formed by the interaction between river-delta and marin ogdan & Gilbert 1982). The third functional group was formed by the algivorous ciliates colaps and Prorodon. period is the unknown, the par exact solution must be formed by an iterative procedure (or use of the tables section of segment A. It is unrealistic that segment A formed by rock fall from bedrock cliffs after the forma en HR. A geophysical equivalent of this situation is formed by leads, which have been modelled experimentall cohesive to cohesive, and (1) the network structure is formed by another sediment fraction. Appropriate parame refuse to grow. An interesting exception to this is formed by the isolates obtained of Rehnstam et al. (19 ng and by spit extension, although most appear to have formed by spit extension in the classic manner describe near sea, and Skagerrak. A separate cluster was also formed by 3 different Hyphomonas species from the skag 4, ? = 0.76 (right side). The fifth obstacle ("E") is formed by two hills of shape "A" joined up with a cent

Finally, a significant problem common to all KPs, as in *rang\* from*, is the fact that the first term is so far from the pattern, that it cannot even be manually extracted.

Figure 13: Noise in KP *formed by*: anaphora

red spot' (these were not counted because they can be formed by bursting during the preparation of the slide  
lar layers of compact and light coloured appearance, formed by massive conglomerates and clays, strongly i  
s reaching to about mean sea level that have been formed by calcium carbonate-secreting organisms. The mo  
r is the simplest case of an inhomogeneous flow. It is formed by two layers of fluids having different densit  
s level or Jiang and Garwood 1996; 1998, but must have formed by another mechanism, as the density current wa  
lated sand along the outer part of the shore. They are formed by the motion of waves and tides, when a lar  
refore equally impossible to predict. They are formed by a sudden uplift or subsidence of the sea  
s are rounded and about 0.75 mm in diameter. They were formed by a nucleus, made of a detrital grain or root d

Noise shown in figures 11, 12 and 13 could be partly solved if a candidate term extractor could be implemented at the same time. This way, terms which did not fall under the consideration of specialized terms would be excluded from the extraction process from the beginning. Anaphora would still be a problem, but the validation of conceptual propositions would be at least more efficient. However, the main problem of the KP *formed by* is polysemy. Concordances in figure 14 show the way *formed by* works in the three different dimensions it can express, although the *result* dimension prevails over *part* and *material*.

Figure 14: Conceptual dimensions expressed by KP *formed by*

RESULT  
is the disturbing force of a seiche, seiche is a wave formed by the rocking of water in an enclosed water are  
s valley is a topographic low about 15 km wide and was formed by shearing along the transform that separates t  
e lower berm is the natural or normal berm and is formed by the uprush of normal wave action during the o  
urce: Geomorphology From Space delta coasts are those formed by the deposition of sediment at the mouth of a  
effects). Also horizontal heterogeneity such as burrows formed by biological activity, will affect the erosion  
ep-walled ~80-m deep gorge in rock avalanche debris, formed by headward erosion of seepage-fed streams emerg  
s lie adjacent to ledge outcrops. Boulder beaches were formed by glacial scouring and deposition and bedrock  
level fluctuations. The higher berm, or storm berm, is formed by wave action during stormy conditions. Dur  
sheets by waning flood flows. These sandstones can be formed by either direct fallout sedimentation (massive  
he rest of the year. Consequently, coastal sediments formed by evaporation of seawater are common, particula  
a historic phenomenon; the world's great deserts were formed by natural processes interacting over long inter  
Auldyn alluvial fans (Fig. 1). The alluvial fans were formed by the deposition of vast quantities of sediment  
deep-water waves: Sea and swell wind waves initially formed by the action of wind blowing over the sea surfa  
a sharp jolt or shaking. P-waves or primary waves are formed by the alternate expansion and contraction of be  
non-marine processes, and secondary coasts, which were formed by marine action. Primary coasts happen because

PART  
1989) and form ice. Barents Sea bottom water (BSBW) is formed by cold, hyper-saline cascades, which slide down  
olidated rocks. The Earth's surface in most places is formed by soil and by unconsolidated deposits that rang  
hesive to cohesive, and (ii) the network structure is formed by another sediment fraction. Appropriate parame

MATERIAL  
et al., 1999]. Both units correspond to alluvial fans formed by breccia and conglomerates, which distally pas  
r the Roman community. The cusped delta was formed by alluvial sedi- ments carried by the ri  
s are usually dark and regular with different laminae, formed by dark micrite with few detrital grains and by

The disambiguation of this polysemic KP requires different steps. If the KP is followed by a verb, it is definitely related to the *result* dimension. Instead, if the KP is followed by a noun, it can be linked to any of the three dimensions. Then the difference lies in two factors: if the noun is a process concept type, the concept still falls into the *result* dimension; if the noun is an object concept type, the dimension can be either *part* or *material*, but if the noun is uncountable, it will always refer to the *material* dimension, whereas countable nouns will always link wholes with *parts*.

In these concordances the word span among concepts and patterns is still quite wide, although there are no cases of anaphora. For *formed by*, a candidate term extraction process should also be running at the same time, where a 10 word maximum span would be set on the left side of the KP and a 4 word maximum span on the right.

## 5 Conclusions and future work

In order to construct a knowledge resource based on the real behaviour of concepts in all the possible contexts of a domain, all the dimensions of multidimensional concepts must be taken into account. Therefore, apart from providing a definition which conveys their prototypical

features, additional and dynamic dimensions must also be made explicit. In our research, we use the different dimensions of concepts to restrict the conceptual information shown to the end user. Instead of showing all the dimensions together, users can restrict their searches according to context and consequently avoid overinformation.

On the other hand, we have shown how constraints can be defined for KPs to facilitate knowledge extraction. This makes the terminographer's job easier and provides the end user with more explicitly related information. Needless to say, there is still much work to be done before achieving an automatic knowledge extraction system. For example, even if all KPs were tightly constrained, we are still analysing terms, and synonymic values may seem different concepts. That could be solved if knowledge representation and extraction processes were complementary. In this way, ontological systems could inform the extraction system and semantic annotation could be based on an already defined hierarchy. In any case, manual validation would still be necessary. Once we extract all the information we need to avoid noise and disambiguate patterns and dimensions, we are planning to manually annotate a significant part of the corpus to use it as a gold standard. In this way, automatic procedures could next be implemented and slowly refined.

## References

- Cimiano, P., Staab, S. (2005) 'Learning Concept Hierarchies from Text with a Guided Agglomerative Clustering Algorithm', *Workshop on Learning and Extending Lexical Ontologies with Machine Learning Methods*, Bonn.
- Hanks, P., Pustejovsky, J. (2005) 'A Pattern dictionary for natural language Processing', *Revue Française de linguistique appliquée*, 10: 2, 63-82.
- León Araúz, P. (2009) 'Representación multidimensional del conocimiento especializado: el uso de marcos desde la macroestructura a la microestructura', PhD dissertation, Universidad de Granada.
- León Araúz, P., Magaña Redondo, P.J., Faber, P. (2009) 'Building the SISE: an environmental ontology', *Proceedings of the Towards e-Environment Conference*, Prague.
- Marshman, E., L'Homme, M.C. (2006) 'Disambiguation of lexical markers of cause and effect', *Proceedings of the 15th European Symposium on Language for Special Purposes, LSP 2005*, Berna: Peter Lang, 261-285.
- Pustejovsky, J., P. Hanks, Rumshisky, A. (2004) 'Automated induction of sense in context', *Proceedings of the 20th international conference on Computational Linguistics*, Geneva, 924-931.
- Rumshisky, A., Hanks, P., Havasi, C., Pustejovsky, J. (2006) 'Constructing a Corpus-based Ontology using Model Bias', *Proceedings of FLAIRS 2006*, Melbourne Beach, Florida, 327-332.
- Yeh, W., Barsalou, L.W. (2006), 'The situated nature of concepts', *American Journal of Psychology*, 119, 349-384.